

so to speak, Senator Vickers and those who are keeping the focus so narrow. So my amendment says it is broadly focused. As I say, I don't know that it matters either way, or anybody even cares. But then...the next amendment says I change the word unrealistic to contrasting. Unrealistic is a judgement call on Senator Vickers and Senator Schmit's part. If they say...if they say that any other ideas are unrealistic then how can they also, at the same time, want a broadly based discussion? They are rejecting, automatically in their resolution, any other idea. So at a minimum you should be calling these varying ideas contrasting, or contradictory, or disagreement, but certainly not categorically rejecting everything as unrealistic and then asking for broad discussion about it. So I would urge you to adopt the amendment and I'm not sure it matters.

SENATOR BEUTLER: The motion is the adoption of the DeCamp amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted?

CLERK: Senator Beutler voting no.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Anybody else who cares to vote? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator DeCamp requests a record vote. (Read record vote found on pages 891-892 of the Legislative Journal.) 9 ayes, 22 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: The motion fails. Read the next motion, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Vickers would move to amend. (Read Vickers amendment found on page 892 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President, I really don't intend to drag this debate out at any great length, but I think Senator DeCamp did make a point that we should consider and that is that our options should be very broad, that the alternatives that we are looking at should be very broad and that, as I indicated earlier, is the reason that I had this amendment drafted is for a new whereas. You should be