

where there are starving people, but a premium price will be paid. Some of that price will be paid through the underwriting of those economies by the federal government. The government will underwrite those economies, not to help the hungry people because they don't help the hungry people in this country, it will be to help the big financiers in the same way that they are helping those who run General Dynamics, Boeing and these other large enterprises now. So agricultural products are just another item, just another stake in the big card game that is being played. The little farmers, and I don't care whether they have 1,000 acres or a million acres, if they own that land privately or think they do, they are, for the purposes of my discussion, a little farmer. They are going to be out of the picture. When Senator Schmit and the others go to Washington I wish them well. But I can tell them right now what the effect of their trip is going to be. If Reagan talks to them at all it will be difficult to understand what he is saying. But when they talk to those who articulate his policy, like John Block or David Stockman, it will be very easy to understand what the Reagan administration is going to do, that is to slash the subsidies, run the small and medium sized farmers out of business immediately...

SENATOR BEUTLER: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and then work on those who have bigger holdings. Then you are going to see the total revamping of agriculture as everybody seems to be able to see it now, but it will be a reality. When I vote for this resolution it is just to give Senator Schmit and the others at least a tissue paper covering as they go to face the fire breathing dragon.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President, members, I rise to oppose the DeCamp amendment to this resolution. I would like to point out, in so doing, that what Senator DeCamp is saying, with the changing of the wording to include the word broadly focused and contrasting extremes, it is in the same sentence and the same whereas that says the present debate. The entire whereas says the present debate about agriculture's future tends to be narrowly focused and to revolve around unrealistic extremes. I believe that is what the present debate is all about. I think the present debate is narrowly focused. I don't think the present debate is looking at the