

redo the whole system about a...I'll tell you what we're thinking. We're thinking a third, a third, a third. You've all heard it a hundred times. A third property, a third sales, a third income, and get off of 60 to 70 percent property, and yes, it will involve some redoing of the system. However, if the bill advances we're going to attempt that. If the bill fails to advance we'll attempt it. Is that a fair statement, Senator Carsten? Senator Carsten shakes his head yes. So with that said I'll withdraw all my amendments. Nobody is ever going to be able to accuse me of not giving everybody a fair shot and let them have their vote either way. I am voting against it. If it fails to advance, we'll attempt that another day.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Do you have anything else on the bill, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Mr. President, the next motion I have is by Senator Smith. (Smith amendment appears on page 830 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Mr. President, I'm one of those people that has a district...I mean when we talk about guts, I think maybe I'm showing my guts today. My people in my district, probably 95 percent, would like to have me go with this bill. I'm not satisfied with all the debate that I have heard, the kinds of problems that we see that will develop for some people, some districts, some schools. Senator Lamb already addressed the fact that for instance my community of Roseland in my district is going to suffer because of this and I'm sure there are other communities in the state that will also. So for this reason and a lot of others I have proposed an amendment and I think they all have it before them on their desks. Is it necessary for me to read the total amendment? Okay. So looking at this amendment, this is my proposal as an amendment to LB 662. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (No response.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Beutler is next.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I