

March 5, 1985

LB 662

relates to the future of our state's education. We're talking about a very serious issue that relates to the way that we're going to fund that education and I think it deserves more than just the ramrod or the prod pole approach. I think it deserves some serious study. I don't think that has been done as far as I know. Nobody has talked to anybody in my district about that and so I urge you to support Senator Labeledz's motion. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question is the adoption of the Labeledz motion. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. It takes 25 votes. Two are still excused. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 21 ayes, 25 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to rerefer.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The motion fails. Do you have anything else on it, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Yes, sir. Mr. President, the next motion I have to the bill is offered by Senator Vard Johnson. Senator Vard Johnson would move to suspend Rule 6, Section 3, Rule 7, Section 3 and vote without further debate and without further amendments on the advancement of LB 662.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Vard Johnson.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: I will withdraw the motion at this time because I think debate is necessary for this body on this issue.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next motion I have is by Senator DeCamp. Senator, these are amendments on 812 of the Journal.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: I have clearly indicated where I am coming from. I would like to redo the entire tax system. I also said I would not take the amendments up now. Senator Carsten and I are working on those. If the bill fails to advance, and I am voting against the bill, Senator Carsten and I will offer some amendments and I repeat, they're to