

proposed by the Congress is better than what exists and I think that that is the key point that you have to keep in mind. Whether it is a lot better or whether it is a little better, the fact is that it represents an improvement, Senator DeCamp's comments notwithstanding. With regard to the guarantee program, it doesn't change as I understand it, the terms of the Reagan program. All it does is increase the amount that would be available from \$650,000 (sic) to \$2.5 billion. I think that helps. With regard to the buy down program, there was no interest buy down program in existence. You say a hundred million dollars is not that big a deal. Well, maybe it is and maybe it isn't. Maybe it only helps 50 farmers in Nebraska, but at least that's 50. The commodity credit program, \$7 billion, that's a lot of money and switching that over and making it available in the spring I think is a significant step. All three of those things have the potential of being advantages. To vote against them I think is a mistake. The support for that particular amendment has been bipartisan with regard to the farm states. It has been...they have received a great deal of urban support. So I don't know why we've had all this conversation today. I can understand Senator Warner's concern about understanding the specifics and the details, each little detail of the programs. We're caught in a bind in the sense that if you want to make a timely representation to your representatives in Washington, we're going to have to take more time to get every little detail of the program. But I think the important point is this. That they haven't fooled around with the little details of the program. The programs that you're talking about are the programs in existence basically and what we're talking about is putting more money into those programs, or changing a couple of mechanisms in the programs in order to make them more advantageous to the farmer. Those I think are the key points and I think if you're convinced that that is what these programs do and I'm convinced that that is what they do, then you should feel comfortable voting in favor of the resolution. And with that, Mr. Speaker, I would ask for the adoption or the suspension of the rules and the adoption of the resolution.

PRESIDENT: Motion is to suspend the rules to take up the resolution. Thirty votes required. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Senator Warner, for what purpose do you rise?