

I, too, I guess, have no objection to certainly to consider it, but even if we are there are a lot of things that I need to understand that perhaps the introducers can help me on. I have a lot of reluctance to endorse legislation by title without knowing what is in the contents. I also suspect and this is not saying anything not in recognition of the problem of agriculture, but I rather suspect that part of what is going on in Washington with the ag issue right now is if you can bust the efforts to beat the deficit problem by causing some big jump some place why then of course there will be a landslide of other things that will immediately follow suit. So it is necessary I am sure to sort those things out. But I'm wondering if Senator Vickers or Senator Beutler can explain a little bit to me, I understand item three I suspect relatively easy on the Commodity Credit Corporation loans, but I am really at a loss to know what is involved in the \$2.5 billion of loan guarantee or for that matter the provisions for the hundred million interest buy down. I noticed a couple articles in the paper last night which gave me the impression that maybe the rhetoric and the fact were not necessarily the same thing on either one of those two parts of the bill. I wonder if Senator Vickers or Senator Beutler can give us a more clear explanation of exactly how that \$2.5 billion in guarantees is supposed to work, for whom, what the financial condition of the individuals has to be to qualify and the same on the interest buy down. Does that have a part of a provision where the banks have to forego some of the interest or what? It would be very helpful if either Senator Vickers or Senator Beutler would give me some information.

PRESIDENT: Is Senators Beutler or Vickers on hand to answer questions? There is a vacant chair, Senator Warner, where...Senator Chambers, do you wish recognition?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, the world will little note nor long remember what we say here today, but I'm going to say it anyway. I don't think making it easier for farmers to contract additional debt is necessarily a good thing because that is what has caused a lot of the problem today. I don't necessarily think or I don't think necessarily that legislation to bail out banks that have made some bad loans, and I think intentionally so, is going to help alleviate the overall agriculture problem. I also think it is foolish to encourage people to stay in an activity where they spend a