

that the communists have said are crazy? All right. Take these individuals that the capitalists like because the capitalists, in fact, want to undermine the communist state. So what Russia has said is that this type of activity constitutes a mental defect and such a person is in need of treatment. Now Americans, on the other hand, who believe so much in personal freedom, human dignity, the right to be free from governmental restraint, will take an individual with a personal failing, a person who is not a threat to the state, who might hurt himself or herself more than anybody else, declare that person mentally ill and lock them up against their will, and then still talk about their great belief in human rights and point a shaking, critical finger at the communist world for declaring those persons mentally ill who would undermine and destroy the state. I know that this bill is going to pass. The Department of Institutions has given a very poorly written piece of legislation to the Public Health and Welfare Committee to carry. The Legislature has never let the fact that a bill is poorly written or ill advised be a reason not to pass it. But at least I want to put as much distance between myself and this piece of atrocious legislation as I can. Since I don't have the votes to stop it, the only thing available for me is to offer a motion to kill it. You have to consider...well, you don't have to consider, but it would be nice if you would consider that drug abuse is rampant throughout this society. As with other things that society takes a hypocritical attitude about, and by that I mean if the right people do it, it is not a bad thing, this bill would be used to get those people off the street and out of view that the better classes don't want to have to look at. Those who cannot get their dope from their doctor or their pharmacist and get high at home, or at the country club, or at a massage parlor which is exclusive, or a health spa, supposedly a health spa, the better classes will be exempt from this bill. I don't like to see any kind of legislation which I would call class legislation. It might be argued by Senator Wesely and others, who genuinely see something of value in this bill because they are blind, that a person is allowed a continuance to obtain voluntary treatment at a private facility. That is not adding anything to the bill because the well-born, the rich and well-born can already do that. The poor cannot afford to go to a private facility. So that is just thrown in there to soften the impact of what is a bad bill. Is the Department of Institutions...I'd like to ask Senator Wesely a question. Is the Department of