

is one sentence of conflict in terms of implementing that definition that has brought a lot of attention to the bill. It is opposed by LES. It is opposed by the Nursing Home Association. I think it is opposed by League of Municipalities still. Those are public employers that don't like the change. It is supported by the labor interests of this state, those people that are public employees that would like to see this change. It is a labor-management issue. I can say this though, I have just had a chance to read, well, not the whole version of an opinion from the legal counsel from the nursing home association, and it seems pretty clear from what they said that the definition under the NLRA of who is and who is not a supervisor is one that is well established. As we said, it has been in the federal statute for years and years. Case laws define that very clearly. It appears that the one conflict point that we have is the last sentence and that is the sentence that Senator Sieck tried to remove and did not succeed in that motion. I am convinced that the conflict is now narrowed down to such a level that it seems as though we might be able to with some people sit down and try and work this thing out. And so I am going to ask this body to advance the bill to Select File and I am going to pledge and vow that I am going to work with Senator Barrett if he is willing, and with those different attorneys, to sit down over this issue and try to figure out why we are having such a wide variety of interpretations of that last sentence. It is clear that that definition is really a good one. I think we ought to try to enact that into the law and I think that the last sentence, as I said when we opposed the Sieck amendment, is an attempt to implement it, not to change it dramatically but just to take it and make it workable. So maybe that is a misinterpretation of what that last sentence does but maybe it isn't and maybe some discussion will clarify that. So I am going to ask this body to advance LB 213. I am going to ask that you do that with the recognition that we are going to try to work out that last sentence and I will sit down with both sides, and Senator Barrett who is Chairman of the Business and Labor Committee, and see if we can't reach some agreement because I think we are a lot closer than people realize on this issue. So with that I would ask for your support of the motion to advance.

PRESIDENT: The motion is to advance LB 213 to General File. The Chair recognizes Senator Barrett. May we have order, please. (Gavel.) Senator Barrett.