

trimmed. We can start out by doing away with a lot of the waste. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Senator. Senator Warner, do you wish to be recognized a second time? Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, again I would urge that you put the bill on General File so it is before you. The one thing that I think you should keep in mind, if you are talking about a property tax reduction, you are simultaneously talking about a sales and/or income tax increase. That has always been true. It is true today. It is true of the bills that are before us. It has been true in the past. So the questions you have to ask citizens is, now do you want property tax reduction and, because they are together, and an increase in your sales and/or income tax? It should be phrased that way. The other thing I would like to comment on is the practice which on small items, smaller amounts, there is no particular problem, but when we pass larger A bills, that is legislation that has a future impact in particular to be implemented, the credit card type legislation. For example, this year the total budget when the deficiencies are completed for '84-85 will be in the vicinity of 830 million. The total revenue as today is 846.8 million as the laws currently are or an increase in revenue that would be available assuming the same budget which, obviously, can't be done but of 16.5 million. There are three programs alone that has either the phase in as the last phase of the county Medicaid which is 6.8 million, take over two municipal courts systems which was about, what, 4.3 or 4.5 million, plus the homestead exemption, 18.3, those three alone is 29.4 million of increased expenditures which if you assumed the same level as last year you only have 16.5 million to cover or, obviously, a 12, 13 million dollar gap. What I am saying is you are going to be digging in substantially into existing programs to stay within those rates, wherever they might be, and I will repeat, there is a whole host of agencies that is going to be affected. As a matter of fact, there will be a number of programs probably contemplated for elimination or at least reduction that were established through A bills at some previous session in enabling legislation. So it is not, you know, we are not cutting into some long established state operations. You are going to find yourself looking at legislation we passed in recent years that also may have to not be funded if you are going