

SENATOR WESELY: We went to the Court of Industrial Relations, to an individual actually, there to ask for their opinion and they said that this was important to have it in there.

SENATOR LUNDY: Now, I wonder, Senator Wesely, if it's important for them to have it in there when it is not necessary for the National Labor Relations Board to have it. They do not want it or they have not indicated a need for it, then why would our own Court of Industrial Relations want it?

SENATOR WESELY: I think, Senator Lundy, I'm no expert, this...

SENATOR LUNDY: I'm not either.

SENATOR WESELY: ...I'm really a layman, but as I understand it the definition is there and then implementing language is elsewhere in the NLRA Act so in this case somehow they needed an implementing sentence to say here is a definition and it is not just hung out there for no good purpose, but after you define it then this is how you implement it. I don't know, it must have something similar in the NLRA but I don't know about it or how they do it.

SENATOR LUNDY: From what we've gathered I don't think there is anything in the National Labor Relations Board requiring this and I'm just wondering why our own organization is so hep on getting it. I would support Senator Sieck's amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: That's the end of the red lights, so...green lights, white lights, whatever color they are, I'm color-blind, but let's see, Senator Sieck, would you like to close on your amendment?

SENATOR SIECK: Yes, members of the body, I know there's a lot of confusion on this particular amendment. It will almost destroy the bill. There is no doubt in my mind about that, but they keep saying that supervision, supervisory capacity is not defined. It is defined. And in a nursing home when an individual takes care of other individuals he or she is in the capacity of supervision, no question about it, and it would be substantial. As soon as you start