

concern that the bill can do far more than what some of the explanations are, including some of the things that are said here on the floor. For example, the amendment that I offered, Senator DeCamp made reference to seven work days. My purpose in that was to clarify that seven work days or less would not constitute an unreasonable interruption. There are some who would probably argue with seven days or less that the bill did not apply, that anything over seven days is an unreasonable interruption which would not necessarily ever be true. What I am suggesting is that the scope of the general language contained in the bill as it now stands still is so broad to a variety of interpretations that I don't think it would be advisable or reasonable to enact the bill or be good policy because of the probable spin-off effects that could occur. Like anyone else, I have all the sympathy in the world for any interruption that road construction causes, but by the same token we also know that there is no way that that can be totally avoided and that as a matter of practice most governmental subdivisions and the state will make efforts to provide where it is feasible ingress and egress, but it is never going to be...frequently, at least, it will not be, obviously, as satisfactory by the very nature as the road will be when it is completed. But I think I would urge the body to support Senator Sieck to repeal the...or to kill this bill because I think its ramifications as unintended consequences could be far more sweeping than we realize.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lundy, you may have the floor.

SENATOR LUNDY: Mr. President and members of the body, I rise to support Senator Sieck's motion to indefinitely postpone this legislation. I think it has been repeated somewhat this morning the reasons why this is not good legislation. I don't think it is necessarily prudent what they are doing in Colorado, Senator DeCamp. We are attempting to be concerned about what is going on in the State of Nebraska and what Colorado does or somebody else does is immaterial in this regard as far as I am concerned. I believe it is important that all of us look at our state and be concerned about the cost of constructing highways and city streets and county roads and county bridges and so forth, because this can do nothing except add to the cost of these projects and whether it is city or county or state government, they are going to be affected with additional costs, number one. Number two, probably delay in getting