

SENATOR WESELY: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Those both have definitions?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, do they use the Public Health and Welfare kind of definition?

SENATOR WESELY: I don't know. We can find that out.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Thank you.

SENATOR WESELY: They are not included in this bill though.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, since there is some additional interest on the part of at least some of the senators in the matter, I want to ask Senator Wesely a question about the definition too which really bothers me. Senator Wesely, I, in the last few days, have talked about the significance of placing "or" rather than "and" in a proposition.

SENATOR WESELY: Umm hmm.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you come down to the last part of the definition that Senator Beutler was talking about, we can ignore everything above the "or" in line 26 on page 2. We can say, "or who is so addicted to the use of drugs as to have lost the power of self-control with respect to his or her addiction." So this could bring anybody who is a drug addict within the operation of this bill, couldn't it?

SENATOR WESELY: Under the definition, they wanted a very broad definition.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I know.

SENATOR WESELY: And the reason is that you might have somebody that may not even be using controlled substances, illegal drugs that might as a result of the drug usage be a threat to the public.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then, Senator Wesely, it comes back to