

rise to oppose the bill for a couple of reasons. Number one, it is going to cost \$66,000 the first two years, and then after that, we don't know what the cost is going to be. It is going to be a minimum of some \$30,000 a year to put this bill into effect and to keep it going. Now if everyone is concerned about who is going to vote and who isn't going to vote, first of all, if they don't have the interest enough to register, would they have interest enough and could they get excuses not even to serve on a jury? So if we are serious about this, why not take birth certificates and use them because some people don't have driver's licenses, therefore, you could catch them, if that is what you want to do, in this bill by using the birth certificates. Let's say everybody within that age, we have got your birth certificate and you have got to serve on jury duty. Now it was mentioned that the National Bar Association says this is fine. Well, our own Nebraska County Attorneys Association says we don't like it. So who are we going to listen to, the national association or our local county attorneys association? Now the fact that 25 other states have this doesn't carry any weight with me. I don't care what the other 25 states do. Let them all have Unicamerals. Let them all have all the rest of the bills that we have. So that is not a good argument, what they are doing in other states. So it means that we are hiring two more state employees. We are increasing the size of state government. We are going to tax the people for something they didn't ask for and I think it is unnecessary. I doubt if they can come up in this state with a number of people that have not registered to avoid jury duty. They are just saying some people do this. I don't know of anybody that doesn't register to avoid jury duty. So quite frankly, members of this body, I don't think we need this bill. I don't think we need the \$60,000 cost. It was opposed by our County Attorneys Association of Nebraska and I would ask you to vote no on LB 113. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Withem, you may close.

SENATOR WITHEM: Thank you. Members of the Legislature, I was anticipating a little more discussion on this perhaps. Just to clarify a couple of things that Senator Haberman referred to. I would like you to take a quick look at the fiscal notes here in the book. There will be some increased cost to local subdivisions to have the personnel to merge the lists. I will admit that. Pulling out a \$66,000 figure