

individual matters based on the division of the question, but I think Senator Pirsch meant to call the question on the whole issue.

PRESIDENT: Well, at that time the question was divided into two parts. Then Senator Chambers came along and divided it further into three parts. And number two is debatable. Now on number three, I rather agree with you that we have really exhausted our time on number one and three but we are into separate... (interruption)

SENATOR LANDIS: The division of the question doesn't justify additional debate, it simply alters the form in which we vote on the question that was just called, I think...

PRESIDENT: Well...

SENATOR LANDIS: ...and for that reason I think Senator Beutler is out of order and has perhaps Select File to raise these questions again.

PRESIDENT: Well, I think maybe I would agree with you that the debate has been proceeding on one and three and can we confine it to that, Senator Beutler, and then talk separately on number two?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, I think if we do that we're going to vote unintelligently and ignorantly because I don't think that the issues have been separated in the minds of the Legislature and I don't think we're going to understand what we're voting on. It was a technical matter when the question was divided. It was further divided by Senator Chambers. Then I think there was a right to devote, to debate both of the divided questions. Nobody chose to debate the first part of it and we voted, but I chose to debate the second part because I don't think the Legislature understands what the second part is about. Maybe I am wrong and we should just proceed.

PRESIDENT: I think it would save time and go ahead and make your statement and the Chair would rule that you have the floor.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, once again, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, it seems to me that, okay, we're now voting