

members are excused today and call in votes may be...members may call in your vote to cease debate.

CLERK: Senator Pappas voting yes. Senator Hannibal voting yes. Senator Vard Johnson voting yes.

PRESIDENT: The Clerk will record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Debate has ceased and the call of the house is raised and Senator Chambers is entitled to close.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to yield a portion of my closing time to Senator Landis, and if he takes it all, then I wouldn't ask for any additional time.

PRESIDENT: Senator Landis, you may deal with that as you wish.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I am supporting amendments one and three and I want to close on those. The debate recently has come down to sort of a, are you for or against look-alikes? Is the goal a good one or a bad one and that is not the nature of the amendment. It is dangerous to simply allow us to say, is the goal good or bad and let it go at that because we pass laws that have very specific meanings and applications. This goal is a worthy goal to attack. I don't think any of us disagree with that. I want to be able to vote for 406. The difference is, we need not accept poorly conceived language that draws in the far more innocent to get to that goal as well. We can be more precise. All of us would say the indiscriminate sale of handguns into the hands of dangerous people is a bad idea. We might well have a law introduced that says the possession and handling of such guns might be a felony. If that bill was drawn in such a way that if you're standing at the counter of a sportings goods shop, they hand over a weapon for you to look at for your purchase and you pick it up and you've committed a felony, it is the same problem that Senator Chambers is attacking today. The goal of the legislation of controlling of a handgun might be wise, but to draw it in such a way that somebody who is handling it at a counter in a sporting goods stop is simply over broad. LB 406, Senator Chambers tells us if you read it carefully, is over broad. It treats the student and the