

many business interruption policies just include these things, his ordinary expenses. Now sometimes you can write it also not only to include his everyday expenses just to keep body and soul together but you could also include a one or two percent profit. You could go back to his previous year's books and see what his daily profit was. But I think it would be reasonable, though I don't know if it would be fair, if we just at least paid the person enough money to retain his employees, to pay all of his current expenses. Now if he shuts down completely, he won't have those utility expenses, but at least when the road building is finished, he still will retain the employees who were experienced, who may have cost him a lot of money to train. He still will have had the money to pay his insurance premiums on the building and the liability. And he still will have had the money he put out of his pocket to pay the taxes to the subdivisions that are taxing him.

SPEAKER NICHOL: (Gavel.) Excuse me, Senator Higgins, ladies and gentlemen, especially under the south balcony, the north balcony I mean, you are a little too loud and we can't hear what Senator Higgins or others are saying, so please hold it down if you must visit. Thank you. Senator Higgins.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker or Mr. Chairman. Also I believe that if the government knows that every day is costing them X number of dollars for this project, they are going to have a time set for that contractor to complete it in and they are going to make a bigger effort to get it finished as fast as possible. I understand the right of eminent domain. I understand the right of the good of all the people, but is it right to take a person's life savings, everything they ever had put into a business, and take it away from them because somebody has decided we need a wider road or we need to turn the road or narrow the road? What about individual human rights? We are talking about people's perhaps lifetime investments.

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR HIGGINS: So I sincerely believe, and I am not going to offer an amendment, but I am offering this as a suggestion to anyone that would want to consider it. I am not going to muddy up Senator DeCamp's bill or Senator Beutler's amendment, but I think "reasonable" ought to be at