

question so I can take out...the second change makes it clear that the counties with newly completed soil surveys must implement the surveys for the '85 tax year. I would like to separate that out and debate that issue. That is what I am trying to do.

SENATOR HEFNER: Okay, thank you, Senator Haberman. I support all of these amendments, and I can see why you would want to divide the question and I would like to talk to that just a little bit too. When we were having the hearing I asked an official of the County Assessor's Association, how will this affect some of the counties that haven't been on soil surveys? And they said it would affect them very little. They said it could go up a little bit or it could come down a little. But what we want to do is try to get equalization and by implementing the soil surveys, we would get equalization. But I would support the first part and I would also support the second part. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MCGINLEY PRESIDING

PRESIDENT: Seeing no other lights, Senator Haberman, you are entitled to close on the first question.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Yes, I am glad to see that Senator Hefner is interested in equalization and I am sure Senator Johnson is, and I am sure Senator Carsten is and I am sure that this body is. But you cannot have equalization in this particular issue unless all counties are treated the same. And they will not be treated the same when all counties have not finished the survey. Once they all finish the survey, I will support using the soil survey in valuation of the land. That is why I wish to separate it so we can bring that out and debate it. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: We are ready to vote on the division of the first question as it has been divided. All those in favor...yes.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: (Mike not activated)...without the soil survey? Okay. It is the committee amendments without the soil survey. That is the first question.