

the chance because I didn't get to complete it.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I have looked on page 382 of the new language, subsection 4 of the resolved section of the resolution. I have read subsection 4 authored by Senators Schmit and Vickers and I think I can subscribe to that wholeheartedly. I think my efforts, as a matter of fact, and those of many of my colleagues without regard to their geographical origin would substantiate subsection 4. When earlier this session we talked about sending members to Washington D.C. there was broad based support from across the state to do exactly that. When you look at the list of senators expressing interest in going, they come from across the state, not simply from the farm sector. The Legislature has responded on that point. With respect to ag land valuations, just yesterday the Revenue Committee sent to the floor of this body LB 30 which is the temporary solution authored by Senator Carsten for the current ag land valuation situation. The vote was unanimous. All sections of the state were represented in the unanimous vote to send that bill to the floor. With respect to a long term ag land valuation solution, the Revenue Committee was again unanimous in looking towards drafting a reasonable long term proposal. The committee has authorized a subcommittee of Senators Miller, Carson Rogers and myself to draft that bill in conjunction with farm representatives, ag economists and the like. There has been a warm response to the needs of agriculture in what limited ways we have been able to find to respond and I intend to continue to do so. I have no problems with living with subsection 4 as drafted by Senators Schmit and Senator Vickers and I would like to ask Senator Schmit a question, if he would yield.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Schmit, would you respond, please.

SENATOR SCHMIT: I will yield.

SENATOR LANDIS: Senator Schmit, I find nothing but good policy in subsection 4 and if myself and the colleagues that I have in the Lincoln delegation and the other members of the body see fit to adopt subsection 4, can we anticipate your support for LR 39 with that amendment that you have drawn?