

put the fourth asterisk is because it has an extra legal counsel, two legal counsel to deal with bills. Now if you look at the number of bills that Judiciary has, compared to Public Works and Revenue, you can see that they don't really have the same workload considering the number of staff that are assigned to that committee. So what I'm telling you generally is that I think Judiciary Committee needs some more bills. I think that when you are in that situation you ought to look at the gray area bills and shift a few over so that everybody has a balanced workload. There is nothing particularly sacred about the tradition of a bill going to the same committee year after year, after year, as we know some may have been misassigned in the first instance, although the argument can be made obviously that it is good to develop expertise in the same committee. But those are the consider...I just wanted to point out those things to you so that you can mull over, in your own mind, how important balanced workloads are in this referencing process and take that into consideration in this particular instance. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you, Mr. President. If you have time, if you have interest, you might like to turn to LB 130. It really is too bad to start arguing the merits of a bill before it even is placed for public hearing. But we are talking about the same thing we talked about two years ago when we talked about eye tissue. We are now talking about the pituitary gland. The pituitary gland, the substance which is derived from it, is used to treat dwarfism. There is no artificial substitute available anywhere in the world at this time. Maybe some years in the future there will be. But it is a medical concern. The reason it is a medical concern is that the expertise we now draw upon, the request for the legislation itself came from the medical area. The need for this piece of legislation is known to the medical area that hypopituitary syndromes can be treated with a substance derived from the pituitary from a human, not from an animal other than the human animal. It is helpful if Senator Chambers happens to be supportive of your perspective. It can be very tough when he is arguing against. He certainly would not be precluded from expressing his view on this bill. You've already heard about his interest and his concern. I am sure we will hear more. As the introducer of LB 130, as a member of the