

January 14, 1985

the rules should be suspended and they should be moved ahead or in some kind of an orderly process where they are at least allowed to receive a priority status. For whatever reasons, and it is not consumption of time that this motion is being offered, for whatever reasons, you are putting yourself in a position where you are going to use up far more time by going this way. I urge you to reject it. I urge you to maintain the committee structure and suggest that this will not save any time, it will guarantee an additional consumption of time.

PRESIDENT: Do I understand, Mr. Clerk, that there is a motion by Senator Goodrich on the...there is not. Okay, Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the body, I don't know what the problem is. Senator Nichol did an exceptional good job the past two years. He got all the priority bills through. I believe he can do the same thing this year. He campaigned on the job that he did, that he got all the bills through, that he got done on time. So I see no reason to change just to be changing and, therefore, I oppose the amendment and I oppose the rule change. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion on the proposal by Senator Nichol? Senator Nichol, do you care to close?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes, Mr. President. My purpose in putting this in was not to solve a problem for me because I don't think I will have a problem any more than you have the same problem. And then during the past two years what has been happening is I went to Senator Beutler, the various chairmen of committees, ahead of time and said, can you squeeze it into one bill? And most committee chairmen could. The Speaker has 25 bills and, believe me, those 25 bills are not bills that the Speaker would like to have passed because there is immense pressure on the Speaker, whoever it is, for him or her in the future to be looking at more bills than you can possibly get to. Now when you come to the end of the session there are more important bills that need to be handled and so the decision has to be made by somebody, what are the important bills? It puts the pressure back onto the committee chairmen to say this bill is important, more important than the other bill, and it helps the Speaker to narrow it down to a few bills that have to be taken care of.