

policy decisions made that would reverse, to some degree, the cheap food policy, that would reverse, to some degree, the spending policies. There are a lot of solutions. There are a lot of potential solutions, but this is a difficult problem, a difficult question, and one that defies any simple solutions. There are those that said we should do something here in the State of Nebraska. I don't disagree with that. We need to talk about what we can do. But I would suggest that whatever we might do would wind up being short-term when we really need, hopefully some long-term solutions to this particular problem. I point out these things knowing that a number of you signed this resolution. I hope I can get your support. Those of you whose names are not on it, it is not because we didn't want you to be, we didn't perhaps contact all of you. If any of you would like to sign on we'd certainly appreciate that. As I said at the outset, I think this is the most important subject that we can deal with this year, one that we have got to talk about, one that we have got to think very sincerely about, and one that, as I indicated, has no simple solutions. With that, Mr. President, I would like to move for the adoption of LR 2.

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Senator Vickers. There is an amendment, to the resolution, on the desk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Lamb would move to amend LR 2. (Read Lamb amendment as found on page 109 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, members, I'm highly supportive of what Senator Vickers is suggesting. I think it is appropriate that a group from the Nebraska Legislature go to Washington and at least try to get their ear because, as he mentioned, there may be some things that the Nebraska State Legislature can do in this state in regard to this problem. There may be things that can legislatively be accomplished in Washington. However, I believe that it really requires executive action on the part of the administration to accomplish a great deal quick enough. My amendment is a friendly amendment. I think maybe a group of 20 is too many, that 10 people would be more appropriate. Since he had not designated someone to be the leader of the group I think it is necessary to have someone designated, and