opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Record the vote.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 2 nays, Mr. President, to adopt LR 5.

SENATOR CLARK: The resolution passed. It is adopted. The next resolution.

CLERK: Mr. President, LR 6 found on page 91 of the Journal is offered by Senators Schmit, Cullan, Vard Johnson, Chambers, Wesely and Labedz. (Read LR 6.)

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, the hour is late. I will not take much time. We discussed this issue very extensively through LB 7 the past few days. I believe the resolution is clear. It outlines the problems that exist with the present legislation on child support collection fees. I just want to emphasize once again that it appears to me that the principal reason for the imposition of a child support collection fee by the federal government was so that they would eventually be able to reduce their contribution to the ADC program to the state. If the federal government chooses to limit their contribution to the state there is a much more effective way of doing it. They can reduce that contribution from 75% to 65% or whatever they want to choose to limit it without adding to the burden of the work that is already being borne by the local clerks of the court and many other people at the county level. I would ask the adoption of the resolution.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Higgins.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Mr. Speaker, Senators, Senator Schmit, would you yield to one question and that is all, just a question?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes, I will.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Basically is this resolution just asking the Attorney General to see about repealing this federal...?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes, it asks for an injunction and asks the Congress to seek the repeal of the law.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Do you think the injunction would in any way impair our receiving the federal funds?

SENATOR SCHMIT: No, in fact, Senator Higgins, if we were to get the injunction that would...ought to ensure the flow of the funds under such decision was determined finally.