

those Title 19 funds when a resident is transferred at this point so it will come out of state monies. It will increase the waiting list if the transfer is made or at least handicap the regions in picking up those additional people and it does not work on a one and one basis because when you move into state dollars with a limitation of our appropriation it will put a multiplier on or add on additional people that will go on the waiting list for the individuals that are transferred. Now this is not passing law for the next session or anything else but we've got to relook at the total concept in getting the best use of the federal funds and in the meantime if another 40 are shoved over, if this can prevent some of those, we will have more people getting services from the community programs and more people getting total services if they take those waiting lists first and don't make that transfer because we are going to lose temporarily, at least through the interim here until our laws are changed, funds by making that transfer, federal funds that would reduce the burden on the state and I think it is imperative that we voice an objection to this movement that is irresponsible financially for the state, to make those moves right at the immediate time and then look at it from the Legislature how we can best take care of all the retarded in the state in the upcoming session. It would cost us certainly under consideration and a total program to get services to all the people. These waiting lists have people that show some real potential of response in getting employment with a regional concept and I think it is imperative we just look at a common sense approach and the federal funding as well as the court. We are, as a legislative body, are independent of the court decree. The court decree did not spell out specific numbers as some of the original intents did. It is not directly affronting the court decree as long as we look out for the best interests of the individuals and at the present time there is some conflict between what the court was pushing for and the route the federal funds come under. And I think we have got to take a practical stance in solving this problem and this resolution advises that. It just requires, I think, or requests that in the immediate future they will follow a pattern that is best judgment to use the finances we are providing the retarded citizens of the state. I urge your adoption of the resolution. It is not going to create a whole lot of serious problems. I have checked with that. I can't see these resolving nor is it going to solve all of them but I think it points us in the right direction in looking at this from a rational basis. Thank you for your time.

SENATOR CLARK: The question before the House is the adoption of the resolution. All those in favor vote aye. All those