making sure that these people don't get of f with just a hand slappine as Senator Chambers was talking about and others were talking about not being able to afford pretrial diversion. So I really think that althoueh there are merits to pretrial diversion this is an alternatlve, the idea of using probation to pet rehabilltation and alcoholism treatment though I think it is a superlor route to take. So, $t$ would support the ameridment eliminating the pretrial diversion option and encourating counties to use the probation system option that Lancaster County has followed. I would refer the rest of my time to Senator Hoagland if he wishes more.

SENATOR LAMB: The motion is to adopt the amendment. Those in support vote yes, those opposed vote no.

CLERK: Senator Lamb voting yes.
SENATOR LAMB: Have you all voted? Record.
CLERK: 27 ayes, 13 nays, Mr. President on the adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR LAMB: The amendment is adopted. Next amendment.
CLERK: Mr. President, if I may, right before that I have an Appropriations Committee amendment to LB 761 to be printed in the Journal. (See pares 1652-53 of the Joumal.)

Mr. President, the next amendment $I$ have to $L B 568$ is offered by Senator Chambers. You will find it printed in the Legislative Journal pag 1427

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Chambers.
SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, this provision that represents an amendment to this bill comes in the same Chapter as the material that we are dealing with. The reason that happened is that an amendment was added to the original LB 568, which substituted language in Chapter 28 for language found in Chapter 39. So despite the fact that the amendment which now is the bill, Request 2666 or whatever it is, comes from Chapter 28, it was allowed to be added as an amendment to a bill comine from Chapter 39. So, my amendment which also comes from Chapter 28 is closer to Chapter 28 because it is the same Chapter than Chapter 28 was to Chapter 39. Now, what this amendment is, as I'm sure

