going to look at it one year sooner than we would have otherwise. This body, at that point and time, reassess their position and determine whether or not they wanted to continue doing it that way. So if you believe the $\$ 3.75$ is a sood charge and a good way to go and you would like to keep it there for a longer period of time, I suppose you should vote no on my amendment. If on the other hand you think that perhaps you are not too much in love with the $\$ 3.75$ charge anyhow and you think maybe the Legislature should look at it a little sooner and assess whether or not they want to keep it there then I suggest you vote for my amendment. That is all the amendment is. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: The question before the House is the adoption of the Vickers amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted on the Vickers amendment?

CLERK: Senator Clark voting yes.
SENATOR CLARK: Record the vote.
CLERK: 27 ayes, 3 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Vickers amendmen:.

SENATOR CLARK: The amendment is adopted. The next amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment is by Senator Kahle. It is on page 1497 of the Journal.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Kahle.
SENATOR KAHLE: Mr. President, colleagues, we have had different things tried in the last few days, some have been successful, some haven't. Senators trying to attach their favorite bill on the bills that are likely to pass and we know what difficulties this leads too. Well, Senators, I want to tell you that this amendment is essentially my LB 635. I want to stress to you that it is entirely germane to this bill. LB 942 is Section l7, addressed the very same issue as my LB 675 by modifying the same statutory section. The issue involved here is the matching formula for funding regional mental health programs. In fact, senators, the approach taken in LB 942 is much more far reaching and in a sense, much more radical than the approach that $I$ am advocating in this amendment. To understand what LB 942 did in regards to this issue $I$ will direct

