

March 29, 1982

LB 757, 208, 568, 928, 948

to feed all the Senators. Welcome to the Legislature. The next speaker is Senator Cope.

SENATOR COPE: Mr. President, members, I was going to call the question.

SENATOR CLARK: Well, you won't have to do that. You were the last speaker. Senator Carsten, do you wish to close? No closing. The question before the House is the advancement of 757. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay.

CLERK: Senator Clark voting yes.

SENATOR CLARK: Have you all voted? Record the vote.

CLERK: A record vote, Mr. President. (Read record vote. See page 1426, Legislative Journal.) 26 ayes, 13 nays on the motion to advance the bill, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: The bill is advanced. We will now come back to #4 with the motions. Senator Koch. Yes, the Clerk would like to read in first, Senator Koch.

CLERK: Mr. President, very quickly, Senator Chambers has amendments to LB 568 and 948 to be printed in the Journal.

Your committee on Appropriations whose Chairman is Senator Warner instructs me to report LB 928 advanced to General File with committee amendments attached, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Koch, on your motion, a time limit of fifteen minutes on this.

SENATOR KOCH: Would the Clerk please read the motion.

CLERK: Mr. President, the motion offered by Senator Koch is to direct the Clerk...Senator Koch would move that the Clerk be directed to request the Governor to return LB 208 to the Legislature for further consideration.

SENATOR KOCH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman and members of the body, last week we passed LB 208 after considerable discussion and amending procedures. My motion is to return it from the Governor for some technical amendments to clarify some problems that have been brought to our attention and you all have handouts on your desks, particularly the one from the attorney who represents freeholders of a number of years, and we feel as though if we are going to put a bill to the Governor that is going to try to correct some of the problems with freeholding, it is important we bring it back for technical amendments and that is my motion.