

March 23, 1982

LB 966

SENATOR LAMB: LB 966.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 966 was a bill introduced by the Appropriations Committee and signed by its members. (Read title.) The bill was first read on January 19 and referred to Appropriations for hearing, Mr. President. The bill was advanced to General File. There are Appropriations Committee amendments pending. Senator, do you want to take up your amendment to the Appropriations Committee amendments now? Mr. President, Senator Warner would move to amend the committee amendments.

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Both of these are technical amendments. One I think is the fire marshal's and the others...what is it? Okay, it is the fire marshal's inspection of liquor establishments currently done and the language only cites these kind of establishments consistent with what the law said and it was brought to us for the fire marshal so it would be correct and then there is another place where the bill referred to "life safety" and the proper designation is "the regulations adopted and promulgated by the state fire marshal" which should have been used instead of the words. It is purely technical. Then I will explain each of the proposed increases in fees which will be consistent with the sheet that has already been passed out. So I move adoption of this amendment. It has no impact other than to be technically correct, citing reference to the statute.

SENATOR LAMB: The motion is to adopt the Warner amendment to the committee amendments. All those in support vote yes, those opposed vote no. Record.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Warner's amendment to the committee amendments.

SENATOR LAMB: The amendment is adopted. Senator Warner, on the committee amendment.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the amendments proposed to 966 is a whole series of adjustments in existing fees that are deposited to the agency involved cash fund. By and large they are for inspection or that type of activity that the agency does. By and large at least many of these are inspections that have occurred over a number of years and as the cost went up the fee charged did not necessarily change and the increase was all absorbed by the general fund. We reviewed a whole series of these in an attempt to develop some rationale for what portion of the cost ought to be assessed