

recommendation, this is a transfer from a one program of \$3,800 and it is transferred to the program of travel expenses for the public service commissioner's themselves. Then the next proposal on page 3, amendment number 3, on line 14 and this merely adds language to what was in the... proposed by the Governor and that the money that was included for unpaid, or it was for money to earmark it that it was for accumulated unused vacation-sick leave for retiring board members and that is routine but to make sure that that is where the money goes, it earmarks it. The next one, the next amendment is to . . . the bill as introduced by the Governor merged all of the programs in the Department of Revenue and the committee amendment would not merge them but continue the programs as they currently were in the appropriation bill and it is the committee's position that it is not necessary for, number one, to merge those two programs, one deals with property tax administration the other is the general administrative cost for the Department of Revenue, but it is easier to account how money is used if those programs are maintained and it creates no problem for the department to do so. In our next amendment, it would be on page four, lines 11 to 15 is the purpose and that merely deletes what was in the original Governor's bill for the transfer of \$9,500 of General Fund money which the department itself indicated they no longer wanted to do, but the amendment does adjust the salary limit in that program upwards to \$12,500 but it does not add any increased spending authority. Number six on page four, lines 21 and 23 was not included in the Governor's bill, I don't believe he had the request, it increases by \$4,500 of General Fund money, the State Fire Marshal's appropriation, and this is to pay unemployment compensation that had not originally been anticipated. Then the amendment on page 5, lines four and five, is similar to what. . . it is an additional technical amendment to the Department of Roads, it was one of the Governor's committee recommendation and there is. . . this is an additional one, there is no increase in money but it is merely citing the correct fund from the original bill that was incorrect, doesn't change dollar amounts. On page seven, there is a decrease, no money involved, a decrease in the salary limitation in the military department from \$100,000 down to \$80,000 but again that, as I recall is in concurrence with the department. Also, on page 7, lines 10 and 11, it adds earmarking language, no money, the money was put in with the Governor's proposed amendment to his bill, but this limits that additional funds to be used only for the cost of a substitute judge pending the illness of the one judge who cannot currently actively work. The number 10, affects the Nebraska Education Commission