

March 22, 1982

LB 631

this up this morning, and I would like to call your attention that this bill does have the emergency clause on and I think this was omitted on the agenda sheet. And so I would like to ask your support to suspend the rules and then go ahead and read the bill.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I rise to indicate I would not oppose the motion to suspend the rule. I would feel very strongly if we got in the habit of passing a number of these before we know the picture better than we do, but I think almost without exception every session there has been one or two A bills or one or two revenue reduction bills because of other time sequences beyond the control of the Legislature it was appropriate to consider the bill and as Senator Hefner has explained and as I understand that the outcome of this particular piece of legislation is very pertinent to that race track to know as soon as possible and if the bill... particularly if the bill was not to pass, I am sure it would have an effect that they would have to take into account before the race season could open. So on that basis on this particular bill I can see there is justification to take it up at this time.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would echo Senator Warner's comments and point out to you the rule that we did adopt through the committee and at the request of Senator Warner that was trying to attempt to put into context questions of the budget and revenue reduction and tax expenditures so that we could see the total picture before we made an individual decision on the bill. So by taking this step we are basically pulling out of that group of expenditure bills, this bill to identify it solely on its own basis and I guess I want to point out that it is important to keep it in the context of the present budget situation and tax revenue reduction and tax expenditure bills. But I do support the rule change suspension because I do think this is a very unique situation and I can understand the problem that they have, and so I think in this instance we can allow that to happen. But I think as we make that decision on the bill itself, you have to keep in mind the language that we are talking about here. And the fact is the bill does not have an A bill but it does have a revenue reduction of I believe \$200,000. And in the past we have held A bills which were appropriation bills for new expenditures and that was to indicate that we wanted

9281