

March 11, 1982

LB 547

though, one, they were negligent; two, they had knowledge of the loss. Whether it is existing law or whether it is new law it is bad law. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Higgins.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Mr. President, I will be brief because I feel sorry for the people that have bills on Final Reading that we aren't going to get to because of all the amendments. The only thing I can say is I am not an attorney but I am smart enough to know that when I go someplace and they say, Not Responsible For Lost and Stolen Articles, that doesn't even hold water, and every attorney here knows it, and they just go to court and they sue. As long as you are paying somebody for a service, they are going to be liable for your lost or stolen articles. Even I think in this bill they are still going to be liable if you want to take them to court and sue them, and again, you keep talking about these people that are going to put their jewelry in the motel safe, I wonder how many collect from the motel, and then turn around and file a claim with their insurance company, because if it is that valuable, I will guarantee you it is insured. I just can't believe that you are going to put something in a safe that you haven't already got insured but I don't want to talk any longer so that hopefully some of the other Senators who have bills on Final Reading will actually get to hear them today.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Chambers. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Yes, Mr. President, I will be very brief as well. I think that the discussion we have had on this bill points out a couple of things, number one, the advantages of Final Reading. I know Senator Beutler doesn't like that but I do see once again the advantages of Final Reading. Number two, I think we are also seeing today the advantages of a rule change that was defeated earlier this session which would require a summary of bills on Final Reading. Clearly this bill has had two other pieces of legislation amended into it on General File. I don't know if you even know that they are LBs 593 and LB 897. If you want to look back at those two bills, you will see that they are both into this bill. I think that it is clear that it is hard for us to know exactly what is in and what is out of some legislation when it comes to Final Reading and the discussion today points that out, and once you start drawing attention to legislation, you can ask some of the questions that I think are pertinent questions that Senator Beutler, Senator Chambers, Senator Fowler have all been asking. I think the intent of the bill is quite fine and