

March 9, 1983

LB 652

Koch for compromising on this issue. I know that he has spent many long hours on this issue and also the Education Committee has. I guess at this time I would like to ask Senator Hoagland to withdraw the kill motion and, of course, if he doesn't want to do that, why then I would urge this body to vote against it.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Vickers. Is Senator Vickers here? Senator Wesely, do you want to be recognized? We are speaking to the Hoagland kill motion.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you. Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I will be brief. I haven't spoken on this issue yet today but I think in a very brief fashion I should tell you that I will support the kill motion and the reasons I will support. We have discussed this now for sometime this morning. I think that we had excellent debate. Many different Senators spoke. Many of them expressed their viewpoints and I think we all learned from it, but at this time I think the appropriate step to take is to kill the legislation and move on with other matters before the Legislature, and I say that for a number of reasons. First off I think it is clear that the direction this legislation is taking puts us in a role that we don't necessarily want to follow. To talk about the compromise and trying to work this problem out really is not looking like it is going to come to fruition. There obviously are two sides to the issue. This process in this Legislature deals in the concept of compromise. That is our political process, and to try to take two different sides to an issue, we try and come to a reasonable compromise that is fair to both sides and that is the sort of legislation that we pass. In this instance I am not sure that we are able to reach the sort of compromise we are used to reaching in different issues. Perhaps in this case there is not the room for the compromise that so many people find in most every other issue. This one may be a case of one side or the other, black and white, and the gray area that we have all been searching for, and I have to admit, I am one of those that would like to find that easy answer that will make both sides happy. If we are all reasonable I think we all feel that way, that we want to come to a solution that both sides can feel comfortable with. I am not sure that is possible under this issue, and because of that I think that it is clear that we ought to draw those lines and I personally would come on the side of those that would kill this legislation and say that we have a process that has worked for years in this state, one that has served the state well, and the effort to try and weaken that process and dilute it and change it in form that this bill has now taken would be detrimental to the