

February 8, 1982

LB 724, 677

the Supreme Court to take the issue in dispute and make a determination. Mr. Chairman, I move for the advancement of the bill.

SENATOR CLARK: Is there any discussion on the advancement of 724? If not, all those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay.

CLERK: Senator Clark voting yes.

SENATOR CLARK: Have you all voted? Record the vote.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to advance the bill, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: The bill is advanced. LB 677.

CLERK: LB 677 (read title). The bill was read on January 6 of this year. It was referred to the Judiciary Committee for hearing. The bill was advanced to General File. I do have committee amendments by the Judiciary Committee, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Nichol on the committee amendments.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman, if I might ask your indulgence a minute and I asked Senator Pirsch if I might do this, on LB 722 I missed a little amendment. I was wondering if we could possibly go back and put that on at this time. If it is not acceptable, I will not do it, but I would ask to do that if I can.

SENATOR CLARK: You had better do it on Select. The bill is already advanced. On 724?

SENATOR NICHOL: Yes, let's just let it go, Mr. Chairman.

SENATOR CLARK: LB 722 hasn't come up yet. Do you take the committee amendments on 677, Senator Pirsch?

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Senator Clark. Members of the body, the amendment simply clarifies and puts the language correctly in the law which makes it clear....

SENATOR CLARK: (Gavel) Could we have it just a little quiet. We can hardly hear up here.

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...the main intent of the law. Registered or certified mail must now be used in mailing a jury summons and LB 677 allows for first class mail to be used as an alternative. The option of first class mail is not mandated,