

PRESIDENT: We're ready then for agenda item #4, General File. I think we're still on 127. Do you want to bring us up to where we are with LB 127, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 127 was a bill offered by Senator Sieck. (Read title.) The bill was first read on January 13th of last year. At that time it was referred to the Government Committee for public hearing, Mr. President. The Government Committee advanced the bill to General File. The bill was advanced to General File with no committee amendments. When we left the bill yesterday, Mr. President, there was an amendment offered by Senator Sieck that was pending. That amendment is found on page 382 of the Legislative Journal.

PRESIDENT: Alright, speaking to, Senator Sieck, your own amendment. The Chair recognizes Senator Sieck.

SENATOR SIECK: Mr. President, members of the body, I imagine I should explain this amendment again to refresh your minds. These amendments, LB 127 are the result of the hearing held on the bill last year in the interim study of LR 83. There are four parts to this amendment. The first part exempts certain surveys from the requirements being filed in the survey repository. The amendment reads: "Surveys which are within the corporate limits of a city with a population in excess of fifteen thousand and do not reference, recover, retrace or reestablish the original government corners or lines or do not create a new subdivision are not required to be filed in the survey record repository." This has been done to make this bill compatible to surveyors who do surveys for construction and development. Such surveys wouldn't be needed in a repository. The second part, this amendment is technical amendment to clarify some intent in the bill and the third portion is, this requires that the repository must send a copy of the recorded survey back to the county at no cost and this must be done within thirty days from when the repository receives the survey. The fourth part sets up the Survey Record Repository Fund. The A bill that goes with LB 127 is set up using this fund as established by this amendment. Are there any questions on this? I would be happy to answer them.

PRESIDENT: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I rise to oppose the amendment as I will also oppose the bill. The reason I oppose the amendment is it reduces the amount of money to \$10,000 and I would say that possibly Senator Sieck is doing this because that looks better on the fiscal sheet