CLERK: Mr. President, LB 435 offered by the Urban Affairs Committee. (Read title.) The bill was first read on January 20 of last year. At that time it was referred to the Urban Affairs Committee, Mr. President. The bill was advanced to General File. There are Urban Affairs Committee amendments attached.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature, I need to just ask the question of Pat. In what order will we be taking...do we do first the committee amendments, then the amendments to the committee amendments and then the bill?

PRESIDENT: I suppose, Senator Landis, it would be best if you have an amendment to the committee amendments to take that up first. That would probably be the most, clearest way of handling it.

SENATOR LANDIS: Okay, taking the amendments to the committee amendments, those of you who are concerned, you will find them on your desks, the reason being these amendments appeared in last year's Journal and you won't find last year's Journal on your desk. They have been distributed to you and they appear on this sheet right here.

PRESIDENT: Alright, so we will be discussing the Landis amendment to the committee amendments at this time.

SENATOR LANDIS: I guess I should tell you just a little bit about the history of the bill and why these amendments are here. The joint Housing Authority bill came to us from a study by Housing Authority members, attorneys, financiers lastyear and they brought us, in essence, a real Christmas tree of a bill. We had a long public hearing on the question.

PRESIDENT: Some friends of yours, Senator Landis?

SENATOR LANDIS: Not at all, no. I thought there were enough people in the body who didn't want to hear me speak.

PRESIDENT: I didn't even see anybody signal anybody.....

SENATOR LANDIS: Well I will continue then. The bill was a real Christmas tree of a bill and the committee cut back the import of the bill quite considerably with committee amendments. We, however, in doing so really didn't draw our amendments as clearly as they needed to be done particularly because there is reference to federal statutes