

January 18, 1982

LR 206

I am talking about human beings. The complexion of one's skin does not determine the degree of one's humanity. The South African people themselves who are educated, who are in the churches, even the political parties which is in opposition to the reigning party are asking that the United States offer more leadership and pressure on this the most racist repressive government on the face of the earth. There are things that the South African government does as a policy which are not even done in Soviet Russia. So we are talking here more than about grain, and because of the likelihood that this Legislature may not endorse an embargo or a sanction which would relate to anything that would hurt the pocketbook of somebody in Nebraska, you will notice that I specified that the sanctions would be of the nature and of the type. You all know as well as I do that foreign policy for this country is not going to be established by a resolution of this Legislature or any other one. There are Congressional and Senatorial representatives in Washington who bring more direct pressure to bear. All that Legislatures can do is express the mores or the ethos of the group, the society, the civilization that it purports to represent. This resolution that I am offering would not hurt the Nebraska farmer. If anything, it would elevate the state's image. South Africa is extremely concerned about foreign or world criticism and will respond to that. Senator Howard Peterson mentioned that the resolution doesn't mention anything about Cubans in Africa, and, Senator Peterson, it does not mention the fact that America is going to spend \$15 million to train Salvadorans in this country to go back to their country to start a gorilla war similar to what happened in Vietnam that caused America to get into that country's affairs at a loss of billions of dollars per month and hundreds of young American lives. So there is no way that a resolution of this kind triggered by the specific condition in Poland can deal with every item of American foreign policy that we either agree with or disagree with. Both resolutions are narrowly drawn, address themselves to a specific issue in terms of what action this United States government is going to take. They may be broad ranging from a theoretical or philosophical point of view, but in reality about all that they would do is put this State Legislature on the correct side of a moral issue. Much has been said of the food issue, but there are members in this Legislature who will oppose granting food stamp assistance to the poor in this country, yet if the food which is being produced in this country is going to be deprived...denied the citizens who need the food in this country, how can there be so much solicitude for those thousands of miles away? There has to be some consistency....

6831