

January 15, 1982

LB 525, 824, 847

feel paraphrases quite well why such a change from transactional to the proposed use immunity is necessary. "With transactional immunity, all the witness has to do is mention the transaction; he does not have to fill in the details. So his attorney can tell him to just mention it, and then say, 'I don't remember.' But with a 'use' statute, a smart attorney advises his client to tell all he knows because the more he tells the less can be later used against him. So 'use' statutes encourage fuller disclosure by witnesses, and that is what they are really all about." With this in mind, I urge the passage of LB 525 to Select File.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion before the House is the advancement of LB 525. All those in favor of that motion vote aye, opposed vote no. Record the vote.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to advance the bill, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Motion is carried. Bill is advanced.

CLERK: Mr. President, if I may real quickly, Senator Warner would like to be excused Monday morning.

Notice of hearings from Judiciary for February 2.

A new bill, LB 847, (read title).

Mr. President, Senator Lowell Johnson would like to add his name to LB 824 as co-introducer.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Hearing no objection, so ordered. Senator Higgins, do you want to adjourn us until Monday, January 18th at 9:30 a.m.

SENATOR HIGGINS: We are going to adjourn until Monday? Mr. Speaker, I move we adjourn until Monday, January 18th, 9:00 a.m.

SPEAKER MARVEL: 1982 at 9:30 a.m.

SENATOR HIGGINS: 1982 at 9:30 a.m.

SPEAKER MARVEL: All those in favor of that motion say aye, opposed no. Motion carried and we are adjourned until Monday, January 18th, 1982, at 9:30 a.m.

Edited by:

Marilyn Zank
Marilyn Zank

6821