

January 7, 1982

LB 32, 32A

SENATOR KAHLE: Record the vote.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 7 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to advance the bill.

SENATOR KAHLE: The bill passes. Senator Vickers, the A bill.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 32A offered by Senator Vickers. (Read title).

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President and members, the A bill is designed to have the funds for the signs that LB 32 requests to be put up, and, again, several neighboring states that have introduced such legislation have chosen not to put up such signs, but because of the concern as I indicated to you earlier about the interstate traveler going through from one state to the other, it seemed to me appropriate that such signs be put up and I did do a little bit of traveling last summer and happened to notice in the State of Virginia that those signs are up in the State of Virginia and I also visited with Fiscal this morning and was informed that this bill, even though the dates that it calls for is wrong, the A bill, they would certainly correct those in E & R, of course, and the amount is approximately \$100 more than what the A bill calls for because of inflation this year as compared to last year. I thought I had better tell you that it does require a little bit more money but very little. I move the adoption of 32A.

SENATOR KAHLE: Senator Chambers, do you wish to speak to this issue?

SENATOR CHAMBER: Yes, and very briefly, Mr. Chairman. In voting for this A bill you are voting for money to carry out the provisions of the bill that you just voted to advance. Senator Vickers made what did seem like a powerful compelling point in his close when he mentioned that the very one who manufactures the device put out an advertisement that says the following: "After verifying that the unit is functional the switch should be returned to the speed position. Failure to do so may result in interference with police radar"...one, two, three, four, five, seven exclamation points. You have to understand that not only do those who make radar equipment for law enforcement agencies produce junk and sell it for and represent it as being something that it is not, those who sell radar defeating devices do the same thing. This kind of advertising does not mean that the product is what the advertising says. Remember, if the signal on this device were strong enough to interfere with police radar, FCC would