

May 26, 1981

LB 460, 218

SENATOR DeCAMP: Well, I hate to interrupt their meeting if we can get five votes here to advance it. We need 25. Senator Rumery is going to vote now.

PRESIDENT: Well, we need a couple more votes if that would work out we could save them from coming in but....

SENATOR DeCAMP: Yes, it will work. Schmit will vote.

PRESIDENT: Maybe Senator Schmit will vote. Nothing like being put on the spot, Senator Schmit, maybe you will vote. We will be very patient, but I think we better...have to have a Call if we don't get this. Record the vote.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to advance the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The motion carries and LB 460 is advanced to E & R Initial. The next bill is LB 218, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 218 offered by Senator Sieck. (Read title.) The bill was first read on January 15 of this year, Mr. President. It was referred to the Education Committee. The bill was advanced to General File. There are committee amendments pending, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Beutler, will you take the committee amendments? The Chair recognizes Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, the committee amendments are basically technical amendments and also amendments which narrow the scope of the bill. So I think what I will do is briefly say that to you and explain a little bit, but give you the general thrust of the committee amendments which is to cut down on what Senator Sieck wants to do, and then when Senator Sieck talks about the bill, you can make up your own mind as to whether it is a good thing, although with these amendments the Education Committee felt that the bill was a good bill. The bill basically allows for the construction of certain types of facilities but we wanted to be sure that the school district, for example, or the community college, didn't own the facilities following their completion so that it wasn't used as a technique for getting around some of the fiscal restraints that we have put on the different political subdivisions. And the second thing that we wanted to do was to be sure that the buildings once constructed were in fact inspected by one regulatory body or another, and the bill in its original form wasn't entirely clear as to who would be responsible for the