SENATOR CHAMBERS: To be fair, even though I don't like what they are about to do, the rule does say that a motion to reconsider can be made or should be made on the day the question was decided and that a motion to reconsider takes priority over every other motion except one to adjourn. So whether it is on the agenda or not, it would seem to me that the motion could be made.

SPEAKER MARVEL: What page of the rule book is that?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Page 49, Rule 7, Section 7 (b).

SPEAKER MARVEL: Section 7 (d) or (b)?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (b) as in...

SPEAKER MARVEL: (b)?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...bible.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Baker, (b) as in baker.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, now what is the question before the House? Okay, the Chair is ready. (Gavel) Senator Beutler, Senator Beutler, do you have a challenge?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Yes, sir.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I am simply questioning the purposes of reconsideration in this case. The legitimate purpose of reconsideration is to reconsider the original motion which in this case I take it was Senator Hoagland's motion to withdraw and Senator Hoagland has stated that the motion to withdraw the bill is because the bill is no longer needed. So I submit to you, Mr. Speaker, that it is perfectly ridiculous for us to consider a motion to reconsider a bill which the introducer has acknowledged to be no longer necessary. What are we reconsidering, Mr. Speaker? For what purpose are we reconsidering?

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Beutler, the issue before the House, as the Chair sees it at least, is that we are reconsidering the withdrawal of LB 472A which was on your agenda earlier today.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, I am asking that the Speaker