May 20, 1981 LB 129, 129A

days after the local decision is made by the mayor of the City of Lincoln or whatever town we are talking about. So, in three days time they have to decide and know how to go about putting in the proper forms and putting up the hundred dollar surety bond and bringing to the Liquor Commission an opportunity to protest a liquor license application. Both seem a bit unreasonable to me. Quite frankly, the citizens that have come to me do not want to see this barrier. They don't think it is fair. They don't see it as reasonable and I agree with them. I don't think it makes much sense. I also think that there are many other ways in which we can make it a lot easier for the citizens to express their opinion on these applications but I also realize that we have an important bill, LB 129, and that the liquor retailers do not like this amendment and that there are others that have talked to me that are not certain about its impact and so I am quite reluctant to put it up at this time. But I thought I ought to bring to your attention the problem, to bring to your attention a potential solution and tell you that perhaps that if you have a similar problem that I would be willing to work with you to do something about it. I think that we need to do something about it and I will certainly work toward that end next session but at this time I would ask the Speaker for permission to withdraw my amendment and proceed with the bill.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have nothing further on the bill.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, the motion is to advance 129. All in favor of that motion say aye, opposed no. Motion is carried. The bill is advanced.

CLERK: Mr. President, with respect to the A bill, there is an amendment by Senator Warner, Mr. President, to the A bill.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, you may recall that 129 is the bill that increases the tax on certain alcoholic beverages and the funds would be appropriated as the A bill calls out but I raised the issue on I guess General File with the introducers if they would object if some portion of the additional funds could be utilized for an accelerated program relative to drinking drivers on the highways at this time and I don't know what their attitude is on the amendment now but what I am suggesting is that of the, I believe, billion seven or the million four that is raised that \$81,000 be appropriated for this year and this year only to the Department of Motor Vehicles Safety Program which currently has about a \$60,000 appropriation, but that \$81,000 of these additional funds be appropriated for the