

May 12, 1981

LB 22, 22A, 144, 144A, 157, 157A, 158,  
158A, 168, 168A, 188, 188A, 197, 197A,  
204, 204A, 207, 207A, 243, 245, 245A,  
317, 317A, 253, 253A, 292, 292A, 427, 427A

Mr. President, your committee on Enrollment and Review respectfully reports...your Enrolling Clerk reports that she has presented to the Governor those bills that were read this morning on Final Reading. (See page 1977 regarding LBs 207, 207A, 188, 188A, 144, 144A, 204, 204A, 197, 197A, 245, 245A, 168, 168A, 157, 157A, 427, 427A, 292, 292A, 317, 317A, 22, 22A, 158, 158A, 253, 253A, in the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CLARK: I would like to announce the guests of Phyllis Todd from Senator Beutler's District, Mr. Kim, Mrs. Kim and Mrs. Bae-Pusan from Seoul, Korea. They are under the south balcony. Will you stand and be recognized, please? They are in the south balcony. Welcome to the Legislature. LB 243.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 243 was a bill introduced by Senator Schmit. (Read title.) The bill was first read on January 16, referred to Ag and Environment. The bill was considered by the body on April 10, Mr. President. At that time the committee amendments were adopted. There was an amendment from Senator Schmit that was adopted. The bill failed to advance on that date, Mr. President. I have nothing further on the bill.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I am going to ask you once again to consider LB 243. The bill was heard and discussed and debated at length. The previous time it was up it received 23 votes to advance on a Friday afternoon with about 27 or 8 people on the floor. I think that the fact that we have discussed the bill should perhaps wipe out any reason for a lot of lengthy debate. I know there are a lot of other bills that you want to get to today. I just want to say in reply to a piece of material that is lying on your desk, two and a half pages in length, which casts serious doubts about the problems that LB 243 can cause, I want to say this. You will recall that Senator Kremer and myself and along with several...at least 23 others in this body successfully added about \$2 million to the water development fund. There are rumors now that they may want to cut that back in the Executive Office to \$3 million from 4. That means that we will have about an additional \$800,000 in the water development fund, 50 cents per capita. Not exactly an overwhelming amount of public support I would guess for water development. My concern as I have indicated many times on this floor is this, if we are going to use funds that have been generated by a subdivision of government for