

May 12, 1981

in terms of funding. What I thought was going to occur, which is that the mainline appropriation bills for the continuation of state government, existing aid programs, ought to be finalized with the action of the office of Governor and with the Legislature then considering any vetoes that that action ought to be completed for priority of limited funds before we start enacting new and expanded programs. There are a variety of reasons for doing it, as you perhaps are all aware, tax receipts are below projection, as I understand sales tax is down around 16 million or thereabouts through April. It is my belief that the funding for on-going operations should have first priority for limited funds. It is my belief that the Legislature ought to set those first priorities but the route we are going of sending all of the bills, passing all of the bills obviously far in excess of available receipts the priority then is no longer going to be the option of the Legislature for those limited funds. I think that orderly consideration, because the bills were heard far earlier than some sessions, that we have that opportunity and I would hope that the body would take advantage of that opportunity. It is true that in other years we have read the A bills shortly after the mainline bills were considered but generally the reason we did that was it was so late in the session there really was not adequate time left to consider the A bills after the mainline bills have been finally enacted with consideration of vetoes. I suggest there is no way we are going to fund all of these programs. When the Legislature adjourns not all of these programs are going to be alive and I would hope that the body would consider waiting until our continuation operation of government is funded at a known level and then we begin to consider new and expanded programs as reflected by the A bills in enabling legislation of those A bills. I appreciate that there are those who are apparently here today to urge the passage of particular bills, but it seems to me that our overall responsibility has got to be one of looking at the total financial obligations of the state and some kind of an orderly matter and some kind of a priority fashion and I regret if we just send over gobs of appropriations to the office of Governor when we all know full well that a great many of those at his choice, not ours, will be eliminated because of the obvious no overrides or very minimal overrides of vetoes. I still believe the legislature has the ability to sort out priorities if the priorities are presented to us in a fashion that has some order to them. As we are now going that opportunity to establish priorities has been, it seems to me, been severely limited and I think it is important that the critical issue of appropriations for on-going government be resolved first before we start expansion. For those reasons I move that