

April 8, 1981

LB 257

RECESS

SPEAKER MARVEL PRESIDING

SPEAKER MARVEL: Record your presence please. We need nine more votes before we can start.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senators Barrett, Dworak, Hoagland and Wiitala would like to be excused for the afternoon.

SPEAKER MARVEL: John, will you record your presence? Thank you. Now we need one more and we will be ready to start. Howard Peterson, will you record your presence please? Senator DeCamp, would you encourage Howard Peterson to...yes. Record.

CLERK: There is a quorum present, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: 257.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 257. (Title read.) The bill was first read on January 16. It was referred to the Banking, Commerce and Insurance Committee. The bill was advanced to General File. There are committee amendments pending, Mr. President, by the Banking, Commerce and Insurance Committee.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, we originally put the bill out with the intent and I pretty well understood that we would use it, first of all, for the uranium issue, which was prevalent at that time, and secondarily, those of us who had an interest in using it for the original energy purposes would utilize it, try and get our amendments on, fight over those separately. It is my understanding, Senator Clark can correct me if I am wrong, any of the members of the Public Works Committee, that we have a bill on uranium in the committee now and it has been pretty much understood that we are going to hold that, do an interim study, work out those details later. So I see no reason to adopt the committee amendments on uranium so I would move that they be rejected with that understanding unless somebody wants to go ahead on uranium now. If that occurs, then I will offer an amendment, signed, that is in the Journal, and give a complete explanation of what the amendment is having to do with the energy issue. And so you all understand, the committee amendments gut the bill completely and utilize the bill for the uranium issue. I repeat, I think that issue can be dealt with this summer in the interim study with the bill we now have in the Public Works Committee. The energy elements of the bill are a completely separate issue, should be dealt with separately. I