

April 1, 1981

LB 317A, 298

the Department of Education to handle these residential services. That is fine. But now that we are transferring it from the Department of Education to the regions we need another three hundred thousand dollars to provide the same service, I have real problems understanding that. I can't, and if in fact this is true, then maybe we ought to leave it with the Department of Education if they can do it for three hundred thousand dollars less money.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Koch, do you wish to close?

SENATOR KOCH: I have no close, Mr. Speaker. I just ask that 317A be advanced to E & R Intial. If there is some concerns that Senator Dworak might have and others, I will be willing to look at those seriously on Select File.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion is to advance 317A. All those in favor of the motion vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Clerk, record the vote.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to advance 317A, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion is carried. The bill is advanced. On the next sheet, item #7, we have LB 298E.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 298E was offered by Senator Vard Johnson. (Read title). The bill was read on January 19, referred to Urban Affairs. On March 25 of this year, Mr. President, the committee amendments were adopted. At that time the bill failed to advance. I now have pending, Mr. President, an amendment from Senator Vard Johnson.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair recognizes Senator Johnson.

CLERK: Copies were distributed, Mr. President. I am sorry, they were not, Senator. I apologize.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: I have some items being distributed right now and I think that includes the amendment. If not, I will get one more Page. Here are some extra copies. Mr. Speaker, members of the body, you have three things on your desk with respect to LB 298. The first is a copy of an article from the Western Mobile News concerning a Supreme Court decision in Michigan in which the Supreme Court in Michigan held in February that exclusionary zoning which prevented mobile homes from being located in communities was unconstitutional. As you may recall