cost to the local subdivisions and it is going to be
paid by the property tax owner and you just as well
know it today as to know it a year from now, two years
from now, or five years from now.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Cope.

SENATOR COPE: Mr. President and members, a question
of Senator DeCamp. Just a matter of curiosity, where
are they going to check these trains that go through
Nebraska, and how?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Okay, Senator, under federal law various
other things, they have a detailed count not only of
every train but of every car, the quantity in that car,
very detailed information.

SENATOR COPE: I was just thinking. They come in from
the west and stop at North Platte. They come in with
three or four trains maybe and at North Platte at the
hump they put two or three of those trains together, they
take one on through the east and vice versa and it's
curious, I am sure they have a way of doing it and I am
just wondering how.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Senator, I will get you additional
information, but there is probably more extremely accurate
records kept on some of those things than just about
anything else, a variety of other laws.

SENATOR COPE: Well, it isn't that important, but I was
just wondering.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator DeCamp, do you want to close?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Just very briefly. Senator Schmit raised
the issue of LB 103 and 105 and the massive loss of money
to his area. You may remember I and Senator Schmit were
the ones that opposed 103 and 105, but that is a separate
issue and a separate question. I have a bill in the
Revenue Committee now to undo the damage done by 103 and
105 and it is signed by 13 or 14 Senators in this body,
including Senator Schmit, and I am very hopeful that
the Revenue Committee will see fit to put that proposal
to the floor, and indeed everything Senator Schmit said
about that particular issue and that particular proposal
is absolutely correct. We did get massive loss of money.
The railroads do pay fewer taxes under those proposals, and
as I say, I opposed them at the time. That is a separate
issue from this particular proposal, which I repeat again,