

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Kremer. Oh, excuse me.

SENATOR KREMER: I would have to go back and reread the old 1357, however, 1357 did provide that there could be some recreational facilities established or areas established. Now when you say 100%, I would have to do some more checking. I don't know.

SENATOR NICHOL: I am not trying to ruin the bill or anything because I think I am in favor of it. The reason I question it, we are having a bill coming down the pike in a few days that sets that at 50% and I don't know how you figure a percentage of the benefit or cost or whatever is for recreation or something else. So, I just would like to know some time in the future, I'm not attempting to do anything to the bill. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Johnson. Senator Kremer.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Senator Kremer, my question is simple. Senator Kremer, you said that the issue was put to a vote of the people. The question is, which people, those only within the special improvement district or those of the entire Natural Resource District?

SENATOR KREMER: Those in the special improvement district because they are the ones that are paying the bill.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON. All right, thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President and members, I rise to support LB 388 and in order to hopefully answer some of the questions and the concerns that have arisen this morning, I think we need to examine the Natural Resources Districts and their purposes for which they were created and I certainly stand to be corrected if I am wrong. Senator Kremer knows much more about this subject than I do but as I read Chapter 2-3201, the declaration of intent for Natural Resources Districts, Senator Johnson, I don't believe that the Natural Resources Districts would have the authority to operate as an SID necessarily because I believe the intent was pretty clear in that section that they are dealing with the natural resources of the state. Now I would just suggest that perhaps you read that yourself. I am not quite as clear on law, matters of law as you are of course, but I believe that this is a good bill. Last year or the year before we heard a bill very similar to this and it did not get acted on but I think there is a real need in certain areas of this