

February 9, 1981

LB 81, 143, 207

SENATOR KILGARIN: I move LB 81 be advanced to E & R for engrossment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: All in favor of that motion say aye, opposed no. The motion carried. The bill is advanced.

CLERK: Yes, sir. Mr. President, Senator Koch would like to have amendments printed to LB 207 in the Legislative Journal. (See pages 471-472 of the Journal.)

SPEAKER MARVEL: No objection, so ordered. We now move to item #6, General File. The first bill is LB 143.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 143 was last considered by the membership this past Friday. (Title read.) The bill was read on January 14. It was referred to the Public Works Committee. It was advanced to General File with committee amendments. The committee amendments were discussed last Friday. Senator Chambers had an amendment to the committee amendment that was adopted. I now have amendments to the committee amendments offered by Senators...well first of all Senator Labeledz wants to add her name as coinroducer to the offer of these amendments, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: No objection, so ordered.

CLERK: The amendments then, Mr. President, are found on page 406 (sic) of the Journal and they are offered by Senators Labeledz, Koch and Hoagland and they are amendments to the committee amendments.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Stoney, your light is on. Do you wish to be recognized? Okay, Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Mr. Speaker and colleagues, Senators Labeledz and Koch and I are offering an amendment to Senator Chambers bill that would exclude from any increase in the speed limit the interstate system around Omaha. Now let me say at the outset, speaking for myself only, I am against any increase in the speed limit at all in Nebraska. In addition to my own views on the subject I have talked to about five constituents over the last four days, and to an individual, those persons are against increasing the speed limit and I have really been surprised at the unanimity of the constituent response that I have gotten. It confirms my own feelings that for safety reasons, for energy conservation reasons and because of the possibility of our losing up to \$70,000,000 of federal funds it simply doesn't make any sense at this point to increase the speed limit. One particular individual, a minister at Dundee Presbyterian Church gave me what I thought was the most cogent reason