January 26, 1981

is an expense. For those Senators who live in Lincoln, if I were making the decision, I would say, no, they don't need an apartment during the session. They may think that is important. If they lived at Waverly, Nebraska which is a little further, I would not think that would be necessary. Now we come to Omaha which is fifty miles away and at the present time some Senators drive and some have apartments. So it reaches a point where where do you draw the line, Senator Chambers, and I don't know what you have in mind as to where the line is on this sort of thing or other expenses that many would probably be requesting which may or may not be necessary. So perhars you would like to finish that in your closing remarks.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, do you want to add to that? Senator Nichol, your time is about un. However, our time is up for today, too. Senator Chambers, as you realize, we are to break at 10:30 a.m. for hearings and we have at least two more speakers and your closing so we had better...carry on.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All I was going to say is that Senator Nichol said that he doesn't mind if we take a vote and his questions can be answered on Select so that is what I would be willing to do for everybody else.

PRESIDENT: You mean you would waive your closing. However, we have Senator Barrett and Senator Schmit, if they want to debate, why we had better put it over. Senator Barrett, do you wish to allow Senator Chambers just to take a vote or would you want to...we are running out of time. We are about out of time today. Do you want to continue this tomorrow so you can debate the matter?

SENATOR BARRETT: If that is agreeable, Mr. President, yes.

PRESIDENT: It is agreeable to go ahead, which is agreeable?

SENATOR BARRETT: I simply had a question. Go ahead. I yield to the Chair.

PRESIDENT: All right. Senator Schmit, do you care whether we go ahead and take a vote on it? All right. Senator Chambers, then we will go ahead. Senator Fenger.

SENATOR FENGER: Mr. President, in view of the complexity and the ramifications of LB 206, under Rule 6, Section 2 (b), I move that LB 206 do in fact be returned to Miscellaneous Subjects Committee for a public hearing and public input.