

April 18, 1980

LB 882

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Warner has a motion on LB 882, or he asks unanimous consent that 882 and 882A not be signed by the President pending motions for their reconsideration.

PRESIDENT: Okay, there is a unanimous consent request not to sign until...by Senator Warner, Senator Schmit, until such time as these motions are made. Now, Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature...

PRESIDENT: You object, is that...?

SENATOR SCHMIT: I object at this point. I would like to just suggest that if there is going to be a motion that we go ahead and make the motion. You know it gets to be a little bit tortuous when a bill has 34 votes and gets this far and then someone wants to hold it up until the last dog is dragged before the Governor and I think there are some dogs included in this package but I think that it is about time that we take an action on this. If Senator Warner has a motion on the bill then I would suggest we take it up now, otherwise I object.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I have no objection to taking it up now. I was just trying to follow the Speaker's agenda for motions and whatever would be appropriate is fine with me.

PRESIDENT: All right, Senator Warner, the Speaker has agreed to take it up on the agenda right now and so we just as well proceed with your motion to reconsider.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I move that the passage of LB 882 be reconsidered and I appreciate...I am going about the hard way perhaps to make what with me is a very big point. All of us have our own priorities in here as to where funding ought to go and as those priorities are arrived at through majority votes, that is how it is and I accept that. In the case of LB 882 though, that portion of the bill that states that it was the intent of this Legislature that future legislators and Legislatures will pick up 10% or ten cents of every dollar of increase is a proposition that I want to make it very clear that I personally do not support that intent and I say it because it is a matter of priorities. It became very clear today and it is not sour grapes but it is the

10363