

April 14, 1980

LB 991

discussed and I am going to get what I have to say into the record. Now, if you are going to have a handclap, it takes two hands to clap. If you are going to allow a newspaper to accept material which is going to be considered a violation of the law, then why is the newspaper going to get off scot-free? Because they have a strong lobby? Is the newspaper any better than a citizen, Senator Powers? If it is against the law for me to offer the material, why is it not against the law for the newspaper to print it? They are only doing it for money. If it is wrong to have this type of material advertised, it shouldn't matter who is going to take the money. Let the bong seller go to jail or whatever. I don't know what the penalty is now. This bill used to make everything in the universe against the law but I understand they have narrowed it to this galaxy. So we sit here now and instead of having a provision which should be struck completely from the bill, it is going to be modified at the eleventh hour because everybody is tired and people are going to say it is more palatable now than it would have been. But when we deal with laws we should not select lesser evils. We should seek positive goods and I don't think Senator Nichol has a good amendment at all and I don't think he feels it is a good amendment. It is one of those catchalls again where everybody is going to get what they want onto the bill so that it can be passed whether it does anything or not. The issue here is not to deal with the drug problem. It is not to deal with holding an attractive nuisance before the eyes of the young people. They know more about these things than everybody in this Legislature already and then we pretend that we are going to do something to protect them, protect them from things we don't even understand and they know more about than all of us here put together and then we are going to make it even worse by letting the newspapers accept this type of advertisement. What kind of nonsense is this? It is against the law for me to put a contract on somebody's life but it is all right for the newspapers to print it. Does that make sense? Or aren't we trying to make sense? We are trying to make dollars for the newspapers, aren't we? I thought that the whole philosophy of this atrocious piece of not eyewash, Senator Landis, hogwash was to not indicate that drug paraphernalia is approved, therefore, drugs are approved but you are taking one of the most respected agencies or instrumentalities in this society, whether it deserves that respect in every case is a debatable question, but it has prestige and power in this society, namely, the press and you are going to allow them to make money printing advertisements for this kind of material and it is not against the law and they suffer no punishment. That is

10228